

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,412	12/05/2003	Russ Wankowski	SAB-102US	9729	
24314	7590 11/01/2005		EXAMINER		
JANSSON, 245 MAIN S	SHUPE & MUNGER	MAKI, STEVEN D			
RACINE, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1733		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/728	,412	WANKOWSKI, R	WANKOWSKI, RUSS			
		Examin	ier	Art Unit				
		Steven		1733				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS is a solution of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. lory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a red will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	· 							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	٠					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	ı)∏ accepted or l	b)□ objected to I	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	:(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	O/3B/00j	6) Other:		,			

Art Unit: 1733

1) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to method for producing bent snowmobile studs, classified in class 72, subclass 362.
- II. Claims 7-13, drawn to apparatus for producing bent snowmobile studs, classified in class 72, subclass 380.
- III. Claims 14-20, drawn to bent stud, classified in class 305, subclass 180.
- 2) The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as (1) a process of producing a hook for holding an article or (2) a process of bending a flanged thermoplastic pipe.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as bending using a first shank engagement member and a second shank engagement member without using a head engagement member.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,412 Page 3

Art Unit: 1733

Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as an apparatus comprising first shank engagement member and a second shank engagement member, but no head engagement member.

3) Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4) A telephone call was made to Peter Jansen on 10-27-05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/728,412 Page 4

Art Unit: 1733

6) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is (571) 272-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven D. Maki October 28, 2005 STEVEN D. MAKI PRIMARY EXAMINER